

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 rginia 22313-1450 www.wspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/728,422 | 12/05/2003 | Joseph W. Cole | 112300-3391 | 9411 |
| 29159 7590 12/17/2008 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP P.O. Box 1135 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MOSSER, ROBERT E | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60690 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3714 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/17/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

Interview Summary

(1) ROBERT MOSSER.

(2) Adam Masia.

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/728,422
 COLE ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ROBERT MOSSER
 3714

(4) Holby Abern & Anthony Baerlocher.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

| Date of Interview: <u>December 9th, 2008</u> . | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 5,393,057</u> , <u>US 6,739,971</u> . | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claimed invention with respect to the specification embodiements of the invention and the teachings of the prior art. No agreement was reached with regards to allowable csubject matter or specific claim language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | |

(3)Bob Blair.

/R. M./

/Dmitry Suhol/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714